



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,969	03/31/2004	Jean-Michel Franchet	251003US41	4877

22850 7590 03/09/2006

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1725

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/812,969

Applicant(s)

FRANCHET ET AL.

Examiner

Lynne Edmondson

Art Unit

1725

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is more than one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Buldhaupt et al. (USPN 6419146 B1).

Buldhaupt teaches a method of fabricating a hollow party by diffusion welding and superplastic forming by providing multiple sheets of a superplastic material, providing an anti-diffusion (stop-off) substance in a pattern which is locally sintered by laser, assembly the parts into a stack, defining a cavity, diffusion welding the stack, placing the welded assembly into a mold and superplastically forming a blank (figures 2, 6A, col 4 line 43 – col 6 line 22, col 7 lines 40-66 and col 8 line 33 – col 9 line 19). The anti-diffusion material comprises boron nitride which is mixed with water and sprayed. Excess is removed (col 5 lines 24-42). The laser is moved in a predetermined path by a computer-controlled (CNC) drive system (col 5 lines 40-54).

5. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Will et al. (USPN 6138898).

Will teaches a method of fabricating a hollow party by diffusion welding and superplastic forming by providing multiple sheets of a superplastic material, providing an anti-diffusion (stop-off) substance in a pattern which is locally sintered by laser, assembly the parts into a stack, defining a cavity, diffusion welding the stack, placing the welded assembly into a mold and superplastically forming a blank (figures 2, 4, 6D, col 5 lines 1-48, col 6 lines 15-48 and col 7 line 1 – col 8 line 38). The anti-diffusion material comprises boron nitride which is mixed with water and sprayed. Excess is removed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buldhaupt et al. (USPN 6419146 B1) in view of Sanders (US 2002/0179688 A1) and Weisert et al. (USPN 4220276)..

Buldhaupt teaches a method of fabricating a hollow party by diffusion welding and superplastic forming by providing multiple sheets of a superplastic material, providing an anti-diffusion (stop-off) substance in a pattern which is locally sintered by laser, assembly the parts into a stack, defining a cavity, diffusion welding the stack, placing the welded assembly into a mold and superplastically forming a blank (figures 2, 6A, col 4 line 43 – col 6 line 22, col 7 lines 40-66 and col 8 line 33 – col 9 line 19). The anti-diffusion material comprises boron nitride which is mixed with water and sprayed. Excess is removed (col 5 lines 24-42). The laser is moved in a predetermined path by a computer-controlled (CNC) drive system (col 5 lines 40-54). However there is no disclosure of washing to remove excess material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to wash away excess boron nitride as in the previous washing step to facilitate rapid formation of a strong, reliable diffusion bond (Buldhaupt, col 5 lines 4-23).

Art Unit: 1725

8. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buldhaupt et al. (USPN 6419146 B1) in view of Sanders (US 2002/0179688 A1) and Weisert et al. (USPN 4220276)..

Buldhaupt teaches a method of fabricating a hollow part by diffusion welding and superplastic forming by providing multiple sheets of a superplastic material, providing an anti-diffusion (stop-off) substance in a pattern which is locally sintered by laser, assembly the parts into a stack, defining a cavity, diffusion welding the stack, placing the welded assembly into a mold and superplastically forming a blank (figures 2, 6A, col 4 line 43 – col 6 line 22, col 7 lines 40-66 and col 8 line 33 – col 9 line 19). The anti-diffusion material comprises boron nitride which is mixed with water and sprayed. Excess is removed (col 5 lines 24-42). The laser is moved in a predetermined path by a computer-controlled (CNC) drive system (col 5 lines 40-54). However there is no disclosure of yttria or of forming a turbine blade.

Sanders teaches BN and yttria (paragraph 31) as alternative anti-diffusion materials in a conventional diffusion bonding, superplastic forming process (paragraphs 31-37) used to form turbine blades (paragraph 42).

Weisert teaches a yttria anti-diffusion material having a particle size of approximately 10 microns (abstract and claim 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ small diameter yttria as an obvious alternative to BN which will prevent diffusion but not react and that this method is conventional for forming

Art Unit: 1725

aerospace structures and turbine blades which would typically be used in vibrating or fatigue environments (Buldhaupt, col 1 lines 21-45).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kistner et al. (UUSPN 6810572 B2, laser sintering stop-off), Douglas (USPN 5263638, laser, turbine blade), Motherwell (USPN 6979180 B2, yttria, turbine blade), Fowler (USPN 6068179, laser seals edge, evaporate stop-off) and Stacher (USPN 5118026, vaporize stop-off).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

LE
3/7/06

LRE